

Corona News Lockdown continues or what else 01/05/2021

Today the time has come - It is eagerly awaited what the video conference between Merkel and the Prime Minister will result in terms of extending the lockdown, whether other measures will be added or removed and more. As always, I will provide information on this in good time in a second report today. The meeting is currently postponed from 11 a.m. to 1 p.m., allegedly due to inconsistencies in school regulations. The fact is and remains: the numbers are high; Much too high, because the small drops have only one reason: Missing reports over the entire holidays, missing tests over the holidays. Only as of today is it possible to return to normal operation in Germany for correct numbers, I tried to call up current numbers about 30 minutes ago because they are always updated at midnight, but currently no access to the numbers.

But I would like to start the report with a review of a Markus Lanz broadcast from December, because striking statements were made there, including Carsten Linnemann, CDU, who is also chairman of the medium-sized and economic union of the CDU / CSU was. Markus Lanz "welcomes" him on the show as the defender of international multibillion-dollar companies that are supposed to get 75% of their November 2019 sales simply financed and given away by the German taxpayer.

Ms. Gammelín criticized right from the start that Mr. Linnemann always raised his finger to warn of new billions in spending, but always contributing to the Bundestag vote. But I would like to start with statements from Prof. Kremsner, Professor of Tropical Medicine, Travel Medicine and Parasitology at the University of Tübingen since 2008. When Lanz asked what he can say about the tolerance, since this type of vaccine has never been inoculated on humans, his answer: That is why he is glad that there are test centers like the Paul Ehrlich Institute and the European approval authority, who would strictly check that.

If they allow that, we can also get vaccinated, that is the main message. The tolerance is excellent and compared to other vaccines no different. The immune defense after the vaccination is very good and there will be good effectiveness. How long they last has to be checked first. These statements are in stark contrast to the statements by Biontech itself, which have already admitted the most serious side effects, all of which can be read in my report from December 26th, 2020. In addition, the CNC has also published a report on side effects, which also in NO CASE corresponds to the statements made by Kremsner.

But there is more: Lanz asks about the duration of the "immunity". Then Prof. Kremsner: There are 2 vaccinations planned, maybe more vaccinations are needed, so far he only knows from press releases (AHA; so no idea himself) that there will be a few weeks of protection if everything is correct what we say in the newspaper read (I'm about to break down), how long, you really couldn't predict. Everything is possible. After a year there may only be 10% or 0% protection left. Markus Lanz sums it up: Is there probably more hope being spread in the media and politically than is appropriate? Answer Prof. Kremsner: Everyone is under pressure ... I'll save the rest. Decide for yourself whether this is the right expert to believe and trust. After all, he admits that the protection doesn't last very long, even with flu vaccinations.

Now to Mr. Linnemann: Oops, the first announcement that the Corona app is in fact useless, encourages Mr. Lanz to ask whether this is his private opinion or that of the CDU: Linnemann gets the curve by declaring the statement as private. While Ms. Gammelín from the Süddeutsche Zeitung rightly points out that all the mini lockdowns, sometimes up and down, cost more to go back and forth for a long time than to really go down for a certain amount of time, Lanz asks Mr. Linnemann why politics isn't easy times do:

He doesn't like that at all, he prefers to talk about the representation of proportionality and the underestimation of global dynamics and, like before, brings Chinese examples to the year 2049, which has absolutely nothing to do with it. It's just bad if you are jointly responsible for the whole misery and cannot argue against anything. Lanz comes back to the mask requirement in Germany, which brings Linnemann to the topic of the surveillance state of China, really difficult to bear the Linnemann. Should maybe transfer as a China expert. Incredible: Mr. Lanz is switching to Germany again, why not simply oblige everyone nationwide to wear the mask, always, everywhere? Linnemann first talks about the retail trade coming to him how they should deal with it and talking about wearing and keeping the distance less at work, but one should talk about it, but he sticks to it, in China the data is central saved. Fortunately, Lanz is now interrupting Mr. Linnemann, who seems to have some problems in the studio.

And Dr. Stöbe, specialist in intensive care and internal medicine in Berlin, explains, among other things, that we can look to Asia and Africa to see how they do it when it comes to mask requirements / distance to Asia and Africa. Then he says that Africa is getting through the pandemic much better than we are. Well, didn't Spahn, Merkel and Co. always say that Germany is getting through the pandemic better than other countries? Dr. Stöbe rightly mentions the experiences of countries that have already had to do with pandemics such as Sars, Mers or Ebola, measles and Corona in South Africa, with Corona being the smallest problem. But that's only partly true, because South Africa is not exactly blessed with over 1.1 million infections and over 30,000 deaths; Apart from that, at the beginning of the program he talks about the fact that these countries cannot afford the vaccine and that they may fall by the wayside if countries like us do not buy the vaccine for these countries. Why is it not a problem, he says now. As you can see, if you listen carefully to the "experts", there are always inconsistencies and contradictions.

But let's stay with Mr. Linnemann. First of all, about a very interesting statement by Ms. Gammelin, who explained to a clip by Mr. Brinkhaus, who broke his collar in a Bundestag debate in November because of the ongoing Hü- and Hott-methods, that he was shooting at the Prime Minister, who practically make the decisions and at the same time distribute the money, the Bundestag can then only approve, but the resolutions do not lead to the desired results. In addition, she talks about a parliamentary group meeting that followed, where, to the best of your knowledge, others also fell apart. Oops, she said something, Mr. Linnemann reacts immediately, talks about an internal meeting and asks how you knew that. Change of subject: Mr. Linnemann talks about the fact that when Mr. Scholz announced the 75% reimbursement of the loss of sales, he made a mistake and he meant gross profit or profit, whatever.

As an example, he cites the impossibility that a concert organizer had a turnover of 100,000 euros for 1000 tickets sold in November 2019 at 100 euros each and would now receive 75,000 euros. That couldn't be. He just pretends to be an opponent of these billions in payouts, but at the same time speaks in the Bundestag that it must finally be, that the company can offset the losses caused by Corona with the profits of the last 5 years, and indirectly pours even more here Money out, although he just said that there was almost too much money. That about honesty. His second example is that the company that receives this 75% reimbursement of in-house sales can still operate the out-of-home sales / pick-up service indefinitely without this being taken into account in the calculation of the money.

When Lanz asked why he, Mr. Linnemann, allowed this, he said: We didn't vote on that, we voted on the whole billion-dollar package, and then Mr. Scholz did the implementation in coordination with Mr. Altmaier. Oh, we remember, in the same speech in the Bundestag because of the loss offset 2x praise to Mr. Altmaier for his excellent work during the pandemic. Herr Linnemann, however, does not find himself guilty of this and says that it must be over in January. Is it ? No. Instead, talk again today with a 180 degree U-turn: Large areas of the economy are on the brink of abyss. Where do they get paid for

everything? Linnemann continues: The retail trade must be given new depreciation options for unsold goods, which are recognized as fixed costs. That would be important to him. Oh, hadn't he talked about too much money with Markus Lanz and put everything on Scholz? He has, a politician with two faces.

And only the last one is true.

What does a politician care about yesterday's talk, in this case from the beginning of December 2020. But what Mr. Linnemann already knows: There is no country in the world that does something like that, only France, they reimburse 20% of their sales. What an ignorant politician who only knows what he wants to know. Questions before the vote: No thanks.

We are now leaving the program and come to the last federal press conference on December 30, 2020 with Mr. Spahn, Prof. Wieler President Robert Koch Institute and Prof. Dr. Cichutek President Paul Ehrlich Institute. First, Mr. Spahn took the floor and said that, as a complete surprise, a vaccine would be available that nobody had thought of 4 to 6 weeks ago. (Well, was it developed overnight?). The start of the vaccination was successful and started successfully (yes, but as we now know, it is stalling everywhere). He is talking about another approval next week, but here too we know that it became known today that approval will not be granted at the moment due to a lack of information.

He appeals to the medical staff to get vaccinated, they have a double responsibility. He prefers not to talk about his responsibility for the safety of the vaccine.

But already from the fact that the vaccination offer is still limited in Germany, but as positive news has now been announced that you can get 6 vaccine doses from one bottle instead of 5 if the right medical instruments are available. This information has been passed on to all vaccination centers and that is probably already being implemented. Biontech also submitted this for subsequent approval.

Prof Wieler urges compliance with all established rules, the virus is still present in all population groups and that will be the case for many weeks. From 2021 the vaccination percentage will be published on the RKI website. But it will be months before the circulation of the virus in the population is reduced (he forgot to mention that at least two vaccinations have to be vaccinated anyway, according to Prof. Kremsner, possibly more, and that nobody knows how long the vaccine lasts, ie before all risk groups are even roughly vaccinated, it can happen that the first ones have to be vaccinated again, and thus a cycle without end arises, regardless of how much vaccine is available at the end). On the subject of herd immunity, which is now emerging again, he still speaks of 60-70% of the total population, but warns that the mutations will possibly require a higher immunity rate due to a higher infection rate. Confirmed knowledge about this is not yet available.

Prof. Dr. Cichutek speaks of an excellent, well-tolerated vaccine, developed and tested according to the usual rules. (That's not true, because on the one hand it was pounded out of the ground at short notice, Spahn just said that it was not known 4 - 6 weeks ago, and vaccine developments usually take several years, Prof. Dr. Cichutek should know that too The rule is not true either, because this type of vaccine is new with this technology, which is why there is a long lack of knowledge, and it has therefore never been vaccinated on humans). A partial protection is achieved after the 1st vaccination, the complete protection approx. 2 weeks after receiving the 2nd vaccination. Well, how can it be seriously considered at the moment that the 2nd vaccination will be suspended for a longer period in order to vaccinate all of them once if that doesn't work?